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Abstract

Gateway-Initiated Dual-Stack lite (GI-DS-lite) is a modified approach to the original Dual-Stack lite (DS-lite) applicable to certain tunnel-based access architectures. GI-DS-lite extends existing access tunnels beyond the access gateway to an IPv4-IPv4 NAT using softwires with an embedded context identifier, that uniquely identifies the end-system the tunneled packets belong to. The access gateway determines which portion of the traffic requires NAT using local policies and sends/receives this portion to/from this softwire tunnel.
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1. Overview

Gateway-Initiated Dual-Stack lite (GI-DS-lite) is a variant of the Dual-Stack lite (DS-lite) [I-D.ietf-softwire-dual-stack-lite], applicable to network architectures which use point to point tunnels between the access device and the access gateway. The access gateway in these models is designed to serve large number of access devices. Mobile architectures based on Mobile IPv6 [RFC3775], Proxy Mobile IPv6 [RFC5213], or GTP [TS29060], or broadband architectures based on PPP or point-to-point VLANs as defined by the Broadband Forum (see [TR59] and [TR101]) are examples for this type of architecture.

The DS-lite approach leverages IPv4-in-IPv6 tunnels (or other tunneling modes) for carrying the IPv4 traffic from the customer network to the Address Family Transition Router (AFTR). An established tunnel between the AFTR and the access device is used for traffic forwarding purposes. This turns the inner IPv4 address irrelevant for traffic routing and allows sharing private IPv4 addresses [RFC1918] between customer sites within the service provider network.

Similar to DS-lite, GI-DS-lite enables the service provider to share public IPv4 addresses among different customers by combining tunneling and NAT. It allows multiple access devices behind the access gateway to share the same private IPv4 address [RFC1918]. Rather than initiating the tunnel right on the access device, GI-DS-lite logically extends the already existing access tunnels beyond the access gateway towards the IPv4-IPv4 NAT using a tunneling mechanism with semantics for carrying context state related to the encapsulated traffic. This approach results in supporting overlapping IPv4 addresses in the access network, requiring no changes to either the access device, or to the access architecture. Additional tunneling overhead in the access network is also omitted. If e.g., a GRE based encapsulation mechanisms is chosen, it allows the network between the access gateway and the NAT to be either IPv4 or IPv6 and provides the operator to migrate to IPv6 in incremental steps.

2. Conventions

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC2119].

The following abbreviations are used within this document:
AFTR: Address Family Transition Router (also known as "Large Scale NAT (LSN)" or "Dual-Stack lite Tunnel Concentrator", or "Carrier Grade NAT"). An AFTR combines IP-in-IP tunnel termination and IPv4-IPv4 NAT.

AD: Access Device. It is the end host, also known as the mobile node in mobile architectures.

DS-lite: Dual-stack lite

GI-DS-lite: Gateway-initiated DS-lite

NAT: Network Address Translator

CID: Context Identifier

TID: Tunnel Identifier. It is the interface identifier of the point-to-point tunnel.

3. Gateway Initiated DS-Lite

The section provides an overview of Gateway Initiated DS-Lite (GI-DS-lite). Figure 1 outlines the generic deployment scenario for GI-DS-lite. This generic scenario can be mapped to multiple different access architectures, some of which are described in Section 7.

In Figure 1, access devices (AD-1 and AD-2) are connected to the Gateway using some form of tunnel technology and the same is used for carrying IPv4 (and optionally IPv6) traffic of the access device. These access devices may also be connected to the Gateway over point-to-point links. The details on how the network delivers the IPv4 address configuration to the access devices are specific to the access architecture and are outside the scope of this document. With GI-DS-lite, Gateway and AFTR are connected by a softwire tunnel. A Context-Identifier (CID) is used to multiplex flows associated with the individual access devices onto the softwire tunnel. Local policies at the Gateway determine which part of the traffic received from an access device is tunneled to the AFTR. The combination of CID and softwire tunnel serves as common context between Gateway and AFTR to identify flows associated with an access device. The CID is a 32-bit wide identifier and is assigned by the gateway. It is retrieved either from a local or remote (e.g. AAA) repository. The CID ensures a unique identification (potentially along with other traffic identifiers such as e.g. interface, VLAN, port, etc.) of traffic flows at the Gateway and AFTR. The embodiment of the CID and tunnel identifier depends on the tunnel mode used and the type of the network connecting Gateway and AFTR. If, for example GRE [RFC2784]...
with "GRE Key and Sequence Number Extensions" [RFC2890] is used as tunneling technology, the network connecting Gateway and AFTR could be either IPv4-only, IPv6-only, or a dual-stack IP network. The CID would be carried within the GRE-key field. See Section 6 for details on different tunnel modes supported with GI-DS-lite.

Access Device: AD-1  
Context Id: CID-1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAT Mappings:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IPv4: a.b.c.d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+-----+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AD-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+-----+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPv4: a.b.c.d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+-----+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AD-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+-----+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IPv4: a.b.c.d +-----+ (CID-1, TCP port1 <-> e.f.g.h, TCP port2)
| AD-1 | Tunnel (TID-1) |
| +-----+        |
| IPv4: a.b.c.d  |
| +-----+        |
| AD-2 | Tunnel (TID-2) |
| +-----+        |

IPv4: a.b.c.d +-----+ (CID-2, TCP port3 <-> e.f.g.h, TCP port4)
| AD-2 | Tunnel (TID-2) |
| +-----+        |

Figure 1: Gateway-initiated dual-stack lite reference architecture

The AFTR combines tunnel termination and IPv4-IPv4 NAT. The outer/external IPv4 address of a NAT-binding at the AFTR is either assigned autonomously by the AFTR from a local address pool, configured on a per-binding basis (either by a remote control entity through a NAT control protocol or through manual configuration), or derived from the CID (e.g., the 32-bit CID could be mapped 1:1 to an external IPv4-address). A simple example of a translation table at the AFTR is shown in Figure 2. The choice of the appropriate translation scheme for a traffic flow can take parameters such as destination IP-address, incoming interface, etc. into account. The IP-address of the AFTR, which, depending on the transport network between the Gateway and the AFTR, will either be an IPv6 or an IPv4 address, is configured on the Gateway. A variety of methods, such as out-of-band mechanisms, or manual configuration apply. The AFTR can, but does not have to be co-located with the Gateway.
4. Protocol and related Considerations

- The NAT binding entry maintained at the AFTR, which reflects an active flow between an access device inside the network and a node in the Internet, needs to be extended to include two other parameters, the CID and the identifier of the softwire tunnel.

- When creating an IPv4 to IPv4 NAT binding for an IPv4 packet flow received from the Gateway over the softwire tunnel, the AFTR will associate the CID with that NAT binding. It will use the combination of CID and tunnel identifier as the unique identifier and will store it in the NAT binding entry.

- When forwarding a packet to the access device, the AFTR will obtain the CID from the NAT binding associated with that flow. E.g., in case of GRE-encapsulation, it will add the CID to the GRE Key and Sequence number extension of the GRE header and tunnel it to the Gateway.

- On receiving any packet from the tunnel, the AFTR will obtain the CID from the incoming packet and will use it for performing the NAT binding look up and for performing the packet translation before forwarding the packet.

- The Gateway, on receiving any IPv4 packet from the access device will lookup the CID for that access device. In case of GRE encapsulation it will for example add the CID to the GRE Key and Sequence number extension of the GRE header and tunnel it to the AFTR.

- On receiving any packet from the tunnel, the Gateway will obtain the CID from the packet and will use it for making the forwarding decision. There will be an association between the CID and the forwarding state.
When encapsulating and IPv4 packet, Gateway and AFTR can its Diffserv Codepoint (DSCP) to derive the DSCP (or MPLS Traffic-Class Field in case of MPLS) of the softwire tunnel.

5. Tunnel Management and related Considerations

The following are the considerations related to the operational management of the tunnel between AFTR and Gateway.

- The tunnel between the Gateway and the AFTR is created at system startup time and stays up active all time. There is no state associated with this tunnel and hence there is no need for tunnel lifecycle management.

- The tunnel peers may be provisioned to perform policy enforcement, such as for determining the protocol-type or overall portion of traffic that gets tunneled, or for any other quality of service related settings. The specific details on how this is achieved or the types of policies that can be applied are outside the scope for this document.

- The tunnel peers must have a proper understanding of the path MTU value. This can be statically configured at the tunnel creation time.

- A Gateway and an AFTR can have multiple softwire tunnels established between them (e.g. to separate address domains, provide for load-sharing etc.).

6. Tunnel Modes

Deployment and requirements dependent, different tunnel technologies apply for connecting Gateway and AFTR. GRE encapsulation with GRE-key extensions, MPLS VPNs, or plain IP-in-IP encapsulation can be used. Tunnel identification and Context-ID depend on the tunneling technology employed:

- GRE with GRE-key extensions: Tunnel identification is supplied by the endpoints of the GRE tunnel. The GRE-key serves as CID.

- MPLS VPN: Tunnel identification is supplied by the VPN identifier of the MPLS VPN. The IPv4-address serves as CID. The IPv4-address within a VPN has to be unique.

- Plain IP-in-IP: Tunnel identification is supplied by the endpoints of the IP-in-IP tunnel. The inner IPv4-address serves as CID.
The IPv4-address has to be unique.

Figure 3 gives an overview of the different tunnel modes as they apply to different deployment scenarios. "x" indicates that a certain deployment scenario is supported. The following abbreviations are used:

- IPv4 address
  - "up": Deployments with "unique private IPv4 addresses" assigned to the access devices are supported.
  - "op": Deployments with "overlapping private IPv4 addresses" assigned to the access devices are supported.
  - "nm": Deployments with "non-meaningful/dummy but unique IPv4 addresses" assigned to the access devices are supported.
  - "s": Deployments where all access devices are assigned the same IPv4 address are supported.

- Network-type
  - "v4": Gateway and AFTR are connected by an IPv4-only network
  - "v6": Gateway and AFTR are connected by an IPv6-only network
  - "v4v6": Gateway and AFTR are connected by a dual stack network, supporting IPv4 and IPv4.
  - "MPLS": Gateway and AFTR are connected by a MPLS network

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tunnel mode</th>
<th>IPv4 address</th>
<th>Network-type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GRE with GRE-key</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPLS VPN</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plain IP-in-IP</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3: Tunnel modes and their applicability
7. GI-DS-lite deployment

7.1. Connectivity establishment: Example call flow

Figure 4 shows an example call flow - linking access tunnel establishment on the Gateway with softwire tunneling to the AFTR. This simple example assumes that traffic from the AD uses a single access tunnel and that the Gateway will use local policies to decide which portion of the traffic received over this access tunnel needs to be forwarded to the AFTR.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AD</th>
<th>Gateway</th>
<th>AAA/Policy</th>
<th>AFTR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>(2)</td>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4: Example call flow for session establishment

1. Gateway receives a request to create an access tunnel endpoint.

2. The Gateway authenticates and authorizes the access tunnel. Based on local policy or through interaction with the AAA/Policy system the Gateway recognizes that IPv4 service should be provided using GI-DS-lite.

3. The Gateway creates an access tunnel endpoint. The access tunnel links AD and Gateway and is uniquely identified by Tunnel Identifier (TID) on the Gateway.

4. (Optional): The Gateway and the AFTR establish a control session between each other. This session can for example be used to exchange accounting or NAT-configuration information. Accounting information could be supplied to the Gateway, AAA/Policy, or other network entities which require information about the externally visible address/port pairs of a particular access device. The Diameter NAT Control Application (see [I-D.draft-ietf-dime-nat-control] could for example be used for this purpose.

5. The Gateway allocates a unique CID and associates those flows received from the access tunnel (identified by the TID) that need to be tunneled towards the AFTR with the softwire linking Gateway
and AFTR. Local forwarding policy on the Gateway determines which traffic will need to tunneled towards the AFTR.

6. Gateway and AD complete the access tunnel establishment (depending on the procedures and mechanisms of the corresponding access network architecture this step can include the assignment of an IPv4 address to the AD).

7.2. GI-DS-lite applicability: Examples

The section outlines deployment examples of the generic GI-DS-lite architecture described in Section 3.

- Mobile IP based access architectures: In a MIPv6 [RFC5555] based network scenario, the Mobile IPv6 home agent will implement the GI-DS-lite Gateway function along with the dual-stack Mobile IPv6 functionality.

- Proxy Mobile IP based access architectures: In a PMIPv6 [RFC5213] scenario the local mobility anchor (LMA) will implement the GI-DS-lite Gateway function along with the PMIPv6 IPv4 support functionality.

- GTP based access architectures: 3GPP TS 23.401 [TS23401] and 3GPP TS 23.060 [TS23060] define mobile access architectures using GTP. For GI-DS-lite, the PDN-Gateway/GGSN will also assume the Gateway function.

- Fixed WiMAX architecture: If GI-DS-lite is applied to fixed WiMAX, the ASN-Gateway will implement the GI-DS-lite Gateway function.

- Mobile WiMAX: If GI-DS-lite is applied to mobile WiMAX, the home agent will implement the Gateway function.

- PPP-based broadband access architectures: If GI-DS-lite is applied to PPP-based access architectures the Broadband Remote Access Server (BRAS) or Broadband Network Gateway (BNG) will implement the GI-DS-lite Gateway function.

- In broadband access architectures using per-subscriber VLANs the BNG will implement the GI-DS-lite Gateway function.
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