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Abstract

This document defines several subcodes for BGP Finite State Machine (FSM) Error that could provide more information to help network operators in diagnosing BGP FSM issues and correlating network events. This document updates RFC 4271.
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1. Introduction

This document defines several subcodes for BGP [RFC4271] Finite State Machine Error that could provide more information to help network operators in diagnosing BGP FSM issues and correlating network events. This information is also helpful to developers in lab situations. This document updates [RFC4271] by requiring BGP implementations to insert appropriate FSM Error subcodes in NOTIFICATION messages for BGP FSM errors.

2. Definition of Finite State Machine Error Subcodes

This document defines following subcodes for BGP Finite State Machine Error:

0 - Unspecific Error
1 - Receive Unexpected Message in OpenSent State
2 - Receive Unexpected Message in OpenConfirm State
3 - Receive Unexpected Message in Established State

3. Usage of FSM Error Subcodes

If a BGP speaker receives an unexpected message (e.g. KEEPALIVE/UPDATE/ROUTE-REFRESH message) on a session in OpenSent state, it MUST send to the neighbor a NOTIFICATION message with the Error Code Finite State Machine Error and the Error Subcode "Receive Unexpected Message in OpenSent State". The Data field is a 1-octet unsigned integer which indicates type of the unexpected message.

If a BGP speaker receives an unexpected message (e.g. OPEN/UPDATE/ROUTE-REFRESH message) on a session in OpenConfirm state, it MUST send to the neighbor a NOTIFICATION message with the Error Code Finite State Machine Error and the Error Subcode "Receive Unexpected Message in OpenConfirm State". The Data field is a 1-octet unsigned integer which indicates type of the unexpected message.

If a BGP speaker receives an unexpected message (e.g. OPEN message) on a session in Established state, it MUST send to the neighbor a NOTIFICATION message with the Error Code Finite State Machine Error and the Error Subcode "Receive Unexpected Message in Established State". The Data field is a 1-octet unsigned integer which indicates type of the unexpected message.
4. Security Considerations

Specification, implementation, and deployment of the proposed BGP FSM Error subcodes could make BGP implementation fingerprinting easier and probably more accurate. Operators using BGP need to consider this as an operational security consideration of their BGP deployment decisions.

[BFMR2010] discusses a number of BGP security issues and potential solutions that might be relevant both to BGP implementers and BGP operators.

5. IANA Considerations

IANA is requested to create the registry "BGP Finite State Machine Error Subcodes", within the "BGP Error Subcodes" registry, with a Registration Procedure of "Standards Action" as defined in [RFC5226]. (early allocation of such subcodes is allowed, in accordance with [RFC4020])

The registry should be populated with the following values:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>Unspecified Error</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Receive Unexpected Message in OpenSent State</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Receive Unexpected Message in OpenConfirm State</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Receive Unexpected Message in Established State</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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